ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION OF THE PROPERTY OF TANSPORTATION OF THE PROPERTY OF T

11:11	_
Joint Application of))
UNITED AIR LINES, INC. and AIR NEW ZEALAND LIMITED	Docket OST 99-6680 - 5
under 49 U.S.C. §§ 41208 and 41309 for approval of and antitrust immunity for alliance agreements)))

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AND ANSWER OF AIR PACIFIC LIMITED

Communications concerning this document should be served upon:
Thomas J. Whalen
Evelyn D. Sahr

David F. Rifkind CONDON & FORSYTH LLP 10 16 Sixteenth Street, N. W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 289-0500

Attorneys for Air Pacific Limited

Dated: February 8, 2000

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON, D.C.

Joint Application of)	
UNITED AIR LINES, INC.)	
and)	Docket OST 99-6680
AIR NEW ZEALAND LIMITED)	
)	
under 49 USC. §§ 41208 and 41309 for approval)	
of and antitrust immunity for alliance agreements)	
	_)	

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AND ANSWER OF AIR PACIFIC LIMITED

Air Pacific Limited ("Air Pacific"), pursuant to Rule 17 of the DOT Rules of Practice (14 C.F.R.§ 302.17), respectfully requests that the Department accept this late filing on the ground that Air Pacific required additional time for review and consultation in light of the inclement weather. Air Pacific further requests that the Department consider the issues raised in the following Answer to the Joint Application of United Air Lines, Inc. ("UA") and Air New Zealand Limited (ANZ) for Approval of and Antitrust Immunity for Alliance Agreements.

Air Pacific conditionally opposes approval of UA and ANZ's application. The expansion of the United/Air New Zealand relationship and the grant of antitrust immunity to the relationship will strengthen UA/ANZ alliance's market power in the South Pacific. ANZ has established a dominant position in the Pacific Islands. The protection of antitrust immunity and the enhanced alliance will provide UA/ANZ with the resources to keep other carriers from competing effectively with it on its dominant routes in the Pacific Islands unless they too obtain antitrust immunity should they wish to compete to those Pacific Islands.

In their joint application, UA/ANZ seem to be trying to portray the Air Pacific, Qantas, American, British Airways relationship as similar to the relationship proposed by UA/ANZ in their application, which it is not. First of all, Air Pacific and its codeshare partners do not have antitrust immunity. Also, contrary to UA/ANZ's claim, Air Pacific is not managed by Qantas. Air Pacific makes its own marketing and operations decisions. Finally, Air Pacific is not a member of the oneworld alliance. Its dealings with Qantas, American and British Airways are on a purely commercial basis.

UA/ANZ also seems to suggest that it is Air Pacific that is the dominant carrier in the Pacific Islands, which it is not. Air Pacific pales in size compared to ANZ. ANZ operates 470 scheduled flights a day versus Air Pacific's sixteen (16). ANZ's network gives UA a sizeable advantage over American Airlines, which codeshares with Air Pacific only on flights between Nadi and Honolulu/Los Angeles. That codeshare does not enjoy antitrust immunity.

UA/ANZ present themselves and the Ansett group of carriers as the underdog in the competition with the oneworld alliance in the South Pacific. In reality, UA/ANZ, the Ansett group of carriers and the rest of the Star Alliance, dominate, at least in respect to areas Air Pacific serves, or would hope to serve, in the Pacific Islands.

In a market already dominated by UA/ANZ, antitrust immunity will give UA/ANZ an added, and anticompetitive, market advantage over Air Pacific.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas J. Whalen Evelyn D. Sahr David F. Rifkind

CONDON & FORSYTH LLP 1016 Sixteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 (202) 289-0500

Attorneys for Air Pacific Limited

Dated: February 8, 2000 J:\40432\ANSWER2.WPD

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served a copy of the foregoing Motion for Leave to File And Answer Of Air Pacific Limited on all persons named on the attached Service List by causing a copy to be sent via first-class mail, postage prepaid.

David F. Rifkind

Dated: February 8, 2000

SERVICE LIST

R. Bruce Keiner Lorraine Halloway Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Jonathan B. Hill Dow, Lohnes & Albertson 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036

Julie Sorenson Sande World Airways 13 873 Park Center Road Suite 490 Herndon, VA 2207 1

John L. Richardson Crispin & Brenner 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 850 Washington, D.C. 20005

Mark Atwood Sher & Blackwell 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20036

Director-Flight Standards Federal Aviation Administration AFS-1 800 Independence Avenue, S. W. Washington, D.C. 20591

Embassy of New Zealand 37 Observatory Circle, N. W. Washington, D.C. 20008

Alfred J. Eichenlaub
Sr. Vice President & General Counsel
Polar Air Cargo, Inc.
100 Ocean Gate, 15th Floor
Long Beach, CA 90802

David L. Vaughan Kelly **Drye &** Warren 1200 - 19th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 Megan Rae Rosia Assistant General Counsel Northwest Airlines, Inc. 901-15th Street, N.W. Suite 310 Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert E. Cohn Shaw, Pittman 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

U.S. Transcom/TCJ5
Attn: Air Mobility Analysis
508 Scott Drive
Scott AFB, IL 62225

Nathaniel P. Breed, Jr. Shaw Pittman Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Carl B. Nelson, Jr. Associate General Counsel American Airlines, Inc. 1101-17th Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

Richard Taylor Steptoe & Johnson 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N. W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Moffett B. Roller Roller & Bauer 1350 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20005

Donald T. Bliss O'Melveny & Myers 555 - 13th Street, N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20004

Roger Fones
Antitrust Division
Department of Justice
325 Seventh Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20530